

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,248	09/03/2003	Yuichi Hosoi	Q77278	2777
23373	7590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MALEVIC, DJURA	
SUITE 800	I L VAINIA A V ENOL, IN	• ** •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		2878	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Antique Commence	10/653,248	HOSOI ET AL.	٠,			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>.</del>			
	Djura Malevic	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ally within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 S	September 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/3/2003</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ a	accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	·	).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	to have been received					
<ul><li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documen</li></ul>		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, ——	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/3/2003</u>.</li> </ol>	Forest	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Specification**

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification has a couple inadvertent grammatical mistakes.

Paragraph 11, line 5, "th" must be replaced with "the";

Paragraph 50, line 13, "101E" must be replaced with "100E";

Paragraph 50, line 15, "5B" must be replaced with "5E".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "adapted for "renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 - 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leblans et al. (US Pub 2004/0051438).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Leblans discloses a radiation image storage panel (Fig. 3), comprising a stimulable phosphor layer 1 capable of emitting light when being exposed to stimulating rays, which cause the stimulable phosphor layer to emit light in proportion to an amount of energy stored on the stimulable phosphor layer during radiation [0025]. Furthermore, Leblans also discloses a flattening process in which Lablans flattens the surface of the light radiating side of the phosphor to a predetermined even layer [0074].

Leblans does not expressly disclose that the stimulable phosphor is modified for radiating out the emitted light with an intensity distribution that is compressed in the direction, normal to the surface of the stimulable phosphor layer. However, it is appreciated and obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that to flatten the stimulable phosphor by means of polishing, would in fact, compress the radiated intensity distribution in the direction, normal to the phosphor layer and into an oblate distribution, which is flatter than the  $COS \theta$  distribution.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 3, Lablans discloses the flattening process is polishing the surface of the stimulable phosphor layer on the light radiation side thereby flattening the stimulable phosphor [0074,0103].

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4 - 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 4, the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a radiation image storage panel wherein the flattening process for the surface of the stimulable phosphor layer is filled with a material exhibiting a refractive index larger than 1, into depressed regions of the surface thereby flattening the stimulable phosphor layer. Although, references like Joly et al. (US Pub. 2003/0168611 A1) teaches a binderless stimulable phosphor screen comprising a phosphor layer, wherein the vapor deposited phosphor is needle-shaped with voids between the needles, in which the voids are partially filled with a polymeric compound. However, Joly only suggest partially filling the voids not completely filling the voids thereby not flattening the stimulable phosphor layer.

Claims 5 and 6 are allowed based on there dependencies.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2878

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Djura Malevic whose telephone number is (571) 272-5975. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday between 8:30am – 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Djura Malevic

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2878

571.272.5975

/ DAVID PORTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800